

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	<del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>	77.0		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,634	06/19/2006	Norbert Cottone	72274	8594
THE TABLE IN LANGE	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 9227			PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
	<del></del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/596,634	COTTONE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John R. Paradiso	3721
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2]  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te. cause the application to become ABAND	TON.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	lune 2006.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,8,10,12,15,16,19,21-42,44-46,</u>	48 50 and 53-55 is/are pending	in the application
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		in the application.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-3,7,8,10,12,15,16,19,21-42,44-46,	48,50 and 53-55 is/are rejected	d.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		cation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rece	eived.
·		
Attachment(s)	n □	(070,440)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Inform	al Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/19/06</u> .	6) [ Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/596,634 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. The following claims are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Claim 5 is dependent upon claim 4, a cancelled claim;
  - Claim 7 is dependent upon claim 6, a cancelled claim:
  - Claim 10 is dependent upon claim 8, a cancelled claim;
  - Claim 12 is dependent upon claim 10, a cancelled claim;
  - Claim 22 is dependent upon claim 20, a cancelled claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 10, 12, 15, 16, 19, 21-42, 44-46, 48, 50, and 53-55 rejected under 35
   U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FADAIE
   (US 5328319).

FADAIE discloses a method and apparatus for handling objects (32) in which at least part of a load is gripped (see Fig. 3A and 4A), moved, and loaded. The objects gripped are being read as the claimed "modified arrangement".

FADAIE does not specifically disclose the objects as being rod-shaped.

However, the objects disclosed in FADAIE are cylindrical, and further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method and apparatus of FADAIE to handle any shape of object to provide a wider choice of articles for the end-user.

Regarding claims 2 and 23, the gripper (50) can be clearly shown changing its geometry during use (see Fig. 5A and 5B).

Regarding claim 5, the gripper (50) can be clearly shown changing its spacing as it moves during use (see Fig. 5A and 5B).

Regarding claim 8, the final density shown in FADAIE is being read on the claimed "sought packing density".

Regarding claim 11, Fig. 4B clearly shows the objects being picked and loaded as a block.

Regarding claim 15, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the items row-wise or in any other manner that makes it easy and efficient for the operator.

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 16,42, and 46, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a second gripping device for further moving of the objects, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 21-22, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the loading aid at any angle that is most efficacious for loading, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

#### **Conclusion**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (571) 272-4466

September 30, 2007

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada:

(571) 272-4467

Fax (Official):

(571) 273-8300

Fax (Direct to Examiner)

(571) 273-4466 (Drafts only)